

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 21-0972V**

KATHALEEN LANG,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: June 16, 2025

*John Robert Howie, Jr., Howie Law, PC, Dallas, TX, for Petitioner.*

*Benjamin Patrick Warder, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On February 22, 2021, Kathaleen Lang filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") following her receipt of an influenza vaccine on September 4, 2020. Petition at 1. On January 27, 2025, I issued a decision awarding damages to Petitioner, following briefing and expedited Motions Day argument by the parties. ECF No. 47.

---

<sup>1</sup>Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$45,645.53 (representing \$45,016.30 for fees and \$629.23 in attorney's costs). Petitioner's Application for Attorneys' Fees, filed Jan. 29, 2025, ECF No. 51. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 51-4.

Respondent reacted to the motion on February 10, 2025, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 52. The same day, Petitioner filed a cursory reply. ECF No. 53.

Because some billing records were not included in the initial motion, Petitioner filed a corrected motion on June 11, 2025. ECF No. 57. Respondent stated that he need not file another response, in addition to that filed on February 10, 2025. See Informal Remark, dated June 16, 2025.

The rates requested for work performed through 2025 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted.<sup>3</sup>

I also note this case required additional briefing regarding damages. See Petitioner's Motion for Ruling on the Record on Damages and Brief in Support ("Motion"), filed Jan. 26, 2024, ECF No. 42; Petitioner's Reply to Respondent's Response to Motion, filed Apr. 25, 2024, ECF No. 44; Minute Entry, dated Jan. 27, 2025 (for January 24, 2025 expedited hearing). Petitioner's counsel expended approximately 9.0 hours drafting the damages brief, and 9.8 hours drafting the responsive damages brief, for a combined total of 18.8 hours. ECF No. 57-2 at 5-6. I find this time to have been reasonably incurred. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred.)

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs for all but expenses of \$43.68 for copying and \$1.10 for postage. ECF No. 51-3; ECF No. 57-3. I will nevertheless allow reimbursement of these unsubstantiated costs. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. ECF No. 52. I have reviewed the requested costs and find them to be reasonable.

---

<sup>3</sup> Mr. Howie has not requested an increase in his 2024 hourly rate for work performed in 2025. The requested fees will be awarded, but Mr. Howie should request the 2025 hourly rate that he believes is appropriate in his next attorney's fees and costs motion.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$45,645.53 (representing \$45,016.30 for fees and \$629.23 in attorney's costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.<sup>4</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.